

Crystalline periods of finite slope families

Ruochuan Liu

Department of Mathematics
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, MI 48109
ruochuan@umich.edu

Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research
Beijing, 100871
liuruochuan@math.pku.edu.cn

January 18, 2013

Abstract

We interpolate crystalline periods for finite slope families of p -adic representations introduced by Skinner and Urban [10].

Contents

1 Families of (φ, Γ)-modules	3
2 Cohomology of families of (φ, Γ)-modules	4
3 Families of Hodge-Tate (φ, Γ)-modules	6
4 Families of deRham (φ, Γ)-modules	9
5 P-adic local monodromy for families of deRham (φ, Γ)-modules	12
6 Proof of the main theorem	13

Introduction and notations

In their ICM talk [10], Skinner and Urban connect the order of vanishing of the L -functions of certain polarized regular motives with the rank of the associated Bloch-Kato Selmer groups. As a key ingredient of their approach, they introduce a special kind of eigenfamilies of p -adic representations, the *finite slope families*. In this paper, we will prove the existence of certain crystalline periods for finite slope families of p -adic representations; this provides a necessary input to Skinner-Urban's

program. This also generalizes a famous result of Kisin on the existence of crystalline periods for p -adic Galois representations associated to overconvergent p -adic modular forms.

We now give more details about our result. We fix a finite extension K of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let K_0 be the maximal unramified subextension of K , and let $f = [K_0 : \mathbb{Q}_p]$.

Definition 0.1. Let X be a reduced and separated rigid analytic space over K . A *finite slope family* of p -adic representations of dimension d over X is a locally free coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module V_X of rank d equipped with a continuous G_K -action and together with the following data

- (1) m positive integers $c_1, \dots, c_m$,
- (2) m analytic functions $F_1, \dots, F_m \in \mathcal{O}(X)^\times$,
- (3) a Zariski dense subset Z of X ,

which satisfy the requirement that for any $z \in Z$, V_z is crystalline with nonpositive Hodge-Tate weights, and $D_{\text{crys}}^+(V_z)$ has an exhaustive increasing filtration $(\mathcal{F}_{i,z})_{0 \leq i \leq m+1}$ of $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} k(z)$ -direct summands satisfying the following conditions:

- (4) for each $0 \leq i \leq m$, the free $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} k(z)$ -module $\mathcal{F}_{i,z}$ has rank $c_1 + \dots + c_i$;
- (5) $\mathcal{F}_{i,z}/\mathcal{F}_{i-1,z} = (D_{\text{crys}}^+(V_z)/\mathcal{F}_{i-1,z})^{\varphi^f = F_i(z)}$;
- (6) there exists $b \in \mathbb{N}$, which is independent of z , such that all the Hodge-Tate weights of $\mathcal{F}_{m,z}$ belong to $[-b, 0]$.

The above definition of finite slope families of p -adic representations generalizes the original one of Skinner-Urban. Note that we do not require the unboundedness of the variation of Hodge-Tate weights [10, §4.2 (b2)].

Let $c = c_1 + \dots + c_m$, and let $Q(\varphi) = (\varphi^f - F_1) \dots (\varphi^f - F_m)$.

Theorem 0.2. *Let V_X be a finite slope family over X . Then there exists a proper birational morphism $X' \rightarrow X$ so that $(K \otimes_{K_0} D_{\text{crys}}^+(V_{X'}))^{Q(\varphi)=0}$ has a rank c locally free coherent $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -submodule which specializes to a rank c free $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} k(x)$ -submodule in $D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_x)$ for any $x \in X'$. As a consequence, $D_{\text{crys}}^+(V_x)^{Q(\varphi)(x)=0}$ has a free $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} k(x)$ -submodule of rank c for any $x \in X$.*

Another interesting application of our result touches upon the recent work of Michael Harris, Kai-Wen Lan, Richard Taylor and Jack Thorne on the construction of p -adic Galois representations for (non-self dual) regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representations of $\text{GL}(n)$ over CM fields; it turns out that these p -adic Galois representations arise from certain finite slope families over a 1-dimensional base. We believe that one can use our results to show the crystalline properties of these Galois representations as predicted by the philosophy of Langlands correspondence. In fact, we recently learned from Richard Taylor that this is the theme of an ongoing project of Ila Varma.

Acknowledgements

Thanks to Christopher Skinner, Richard Taylor and Ila Varma for useful communications.

1 Families of (φ, Γ) -modules

Definition 1.1. Let A be a Banach algebra over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. For $s > 0$, a φ -module over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ is a finite projective $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ -module D_A^s equipped with an isomorphism

$$\varphi^* D_A^s \cong D_A^s \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A} \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, ps} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A.$$

A φ -module D_A over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ is the base change to $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ of a φ -module D_A^s over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ for some $s > 0$. A (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ is a φ -module D_A^s over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ equipped with a commuting semilinear continuous action of Γ . A (φ, Γ) -module D_A over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ is the base change to $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ of a (φ, Γ) -module D_A^s over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ for some $s > 0$.

Notation 1.2. For a morphism $A \rightarrow B$ of Banach algebras over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, we denote by D_B^s (resp. D_B) the base change of D_A^s (resp. D_A) to $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B$ (resp. $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B$). In the case when $A = S$ is an affinoid algebra over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $x \in M(S)$, we denote $D_{k(x)}^s$ (resp. $D_{k(x)}$) by D_x^s (resp. D_x) instead.

Let S be an affinoid algebra over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Recall that for sufficiently large s , a vector bundle over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ consists of one finite flat module $D_S^{[s_1, s_2]}$ over each ring $\mathbf{B}_K^{[s_1, s_2]} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ with $s \leq s_1 \leq s_2$, together with isomorphisms

$$D_S^{[s_1, s_2]} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K^{[s_1, s_2]} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} \mathbf{B}_K^{[s'_1, s'_2]} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S \cong D_S^{[s'_1, s'_2]}$$

for all $s \leq s'_1 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq s'_2$ satisfying the cocycle conditions. A φ -bundle over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ is a vector bundle $(D_S^{[s_1, s_2]})_{s \leq s_1 \leq s_2}$ over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ equipped with isomorphisms $\varphi^* D_S^{[s_1, s_2]} \cong D_S^{[ps_1, ps_2]}$ for all $s/p \leq s_1 \leq s_2$ satisfying the obvious compatibility conditions. When s is sufficiently large, by [7, Proposition 2.2.7], the natural functor from the category of φ -modules over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ to the category of φ -bundles over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ is an equivalence of categories. Note that by its definition, one can glue φ -bundles over separated rigid analytic spaces. Therefore this equivalence of categories enables us to introduce the following definition.

Definition 1.3. Let X be a separated rigid analytic space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. A family of (φ, Γ) -modules D_X over X is a compatible family of (φ, Γ) -modules D_S over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ for each affinoid subdomain $M(S)$ of X .

The following theorem follows from [4], [6] and [8].

Theorem 1.4. Let A be a Banach algebra over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and let V_A be a finite locally free A -linear representation of G_K . Then there is a (φ, Γ) -module $D_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_A)$ over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ functorially associated to V_A . The rule $V_A \mapsto D_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_A)$ is fully faithful and exact, and it commutes with base change in A .

Let A be a Banach algebra over K_0 . Recall that one has a canonical decomposition

$$A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K_0 \cong \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_0/\mathbb{Q}_p)} A_{\sigma}$$

where each A_σ is the base change of A by the automorphism σ . Furthermore, the $\text{Gal}(K_0/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -action permutes all A_σ 's in the way that $\tau(A_\sigma) = A_{\tau\sigma}$. For any $a \in A^\times$, we equip $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K_0$ with a $\varphi \otimes 1$ -semilinear action φ by setting

$$\varphi((x_1, x_\varphi, \dots, x_{\varphi^{f-1}})) = (ax_{\varphi^{f-1}}, x_1, \dots, x_{\varphi^{f-2}})$$

where $x_\sigma \in A_\sigma$ for each $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_0/\mathbb{Q}_p)$; we denote this φ -module by D_a . It is clear that the φ -action on D_a satisfies $\varphi^f = 1 \otimes a$.

We fix a uniformizer π_K of K .

Definition 1.5. For any continuous character $\delta : K^\times \rightarrow A^\times$, we associate it a rank 1 (φ, Γ) -module $(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A)(\delta)$ over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ as follows. If $\delta|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times} = 1$, we set $(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A)(\delta) = (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A) \otimes_{A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K_0} D_{\delta(\pi_K)}$ where we equip $D_{\delta(\pi_K)}$ with the trivial Γ -action. For general δ , we write $\delta = \delta' \delta''$ such that $\delta'(\pi_K) = 1$ and $\delta''|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times} = \text{id}$. We view δ' as an A -valued character of W_K , and extend it to a character of G_K continuously. We then set

$$(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A)(\delta) = D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(\delta') \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A} (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A)(\delta'').$$

For a (φ, Γ) -module D_A over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$, we put $D_A(\delta) = D_A \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A} (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A)(\delta)$.

Let X be a separated rigid analytic space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. For a continuous character $\delta : K^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)^\times$ and a family of (φ, Γ) -module D_X over X , we define the families of (φ, Γ) -modules $(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}_X)(\delta)$ and $D_X(\delta)$ by gluing $(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S)(\delta)$ and $D_S(\delta)$ for all affinoid subdomains $M(S)$ respectively.

2 Cohomology of families of (φ, Γ) -modules

Let Δ_K be the p -torsion subgroup of Γ . Choose $\gamma_K \in \Gamma_K$ whose image in Γ/Δ_K is a topological generator.

Definition 2.1. Let S be an affinoid algebra over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. For a (φ, Γ) -module D_S over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$, we define the Herr complex $C_{\varphi, \gamma_K}^\bullet(D_S)$ of D_S concentrated in degree $[0, 2]$ as follows:

$$C_{\varphi, \gamma_K}^\bullet(D_S) = [D_S^{\Delta_K} \xrightarrow{d_1} D_S^{\Delta_K} \oplus D_S^{\Delta_K} \xrightarrow{d_2} D_S^{\Delta_K}]$$

with $d_1(x) = ((\gamma_K - 1)x, (\varphi - 1)x)$ and $d_2(x, y) = (\varphi - 1)x - (\gamma_K - 1)y$. One shows that this complex is independent of the choice of γ_K up to canonical quasi-isomorphism. Its cohomology group is denoted by $H^\bullet(D_S)$.

By the main result of [7], one knows that $H^i(D_S)$ is a finite S -module and commutes with flat base change in S . This enables a cohomology theory for families of (φ, Γ) -modules over general rigid analytic spaces.

Definition 2.2. Let X be a separated rigid analytic space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and let D_X be a family of (φ, Γ) -modules over X . For each $0 \leq i \leq 2$, we define $H^\bullet(D_X)$ to be the cohomology of the complex

$$C_{\varphi, \gamma_K}^\bullet(D_X) = [D_X^{\Delta_K} \xrightarrow{d_1} D_X^{\Delta_K} \oplus D_X^{\Delta_K} \xrightarrow{d_2} D_X^{\Delta_K}]$$

with $d_1(x) = ((\gamma_K - 1)x, (\varphi - 1)x)$ and $d_2(x, y) = (\varphi - 1)x - (\gamma_K - 1)y$. For each $0 \leq i \leq 2$, $H^i(D_X)$ is therefore the coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module obtained by gluing $H^i(D_S)$ for all affinoid subdomains $M(S)$ of X .

As a consequence of finiteness of the cohomology of families of (φ, Γ) -modules, by a standard argument we see that locally on X , the complex $C_{\varphi, \gamma_K}^\bullet(D_X)$ is quasi isomorphic to a complex of locally free coherent sheaves concentrated in degree $[0, 2]$. This would enable us to flatten the cohomology of families of (φ, Γ) -modules by blowing up the base X . The following lemma is a rearrangement of some arguments in [7, §6].

Lemma 2.3. *Let X be a reduced, separated and irreducible rigid analytic space over K , and let D_X be a family of (φ, Γ) -modules of rank d over X . Then the following are true.*

- (1) *The exists a proper birational morphism $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ of reduced rigid analytic spaces over K so that $H^0(D_{X'})$ is flat and $H^i(D_{X'})$ has Tor-dimensions ≤ 1 for each $i = 1, 2$.*
- (2) *Suppose that D'_X is a family of (φ, Γ) -modules over X of rank d' , and that $\lambda : D'_X \rightarrow D_X$ be a morphism between them so that for any $x \in X$, the image of λ_x is a (φ, Γ) -submodule of rank d of D_x . Then there exists a proper birational morphism $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ of reduced rigid analytic spaces over K so that the cokernel of $\pi^*\lambda$ has Tor-dimension ≤ 1 .*

Proof. The upshot is that for a bounded complex $(C^\bullet, d^\bullet)$ of locally free coherent sheaves on X , there exists a blow up $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$, which depends only on the quasi-isomorphism class of $(C^\bullet, d^\bullet)$, so that π^*d^i has flat image for each i . Furthermore, the construction of X' commutes with dominant base change in X (see [7, Corollary 6.2.5] for more details). Thus for (1), we can construct X' locally and then glue. For (2), let Q_X denote the cokernel of λ . For any $x \in X$, since the image of λ_x is a (φ, Γ) -submodule of rank d , by [8, Lemma 5.3.1], we get that Q_x is killed by a power of t . Now let $M(S)$ be an affinoid subdomain of X , and suppose that D_S^s and $D_S'^s$ are defined for some suitable $s > 0$. For $r > s$, set $Q_S^{[s,r]} = D_S'^{[s,r]} / \lambda(D_S^{[s,r]})$. Since for any $x \in M(S)$, the fiber of $Q_S^{[s,r]}$ at x is killed by a power of t , we get that $Q_S^{[s,r]}$ is killed by t^k for some $k > 0$. This yields that $Q_S^{[s,r]}$ is a finite S -module. Now we apply [7, Corollary 6.2.5(1)] to a finite presentation of $Q_S^{[s,ps]}$ to get a blow up Y of $M(S)$ so that the pullback of $Q_S^{[s,ps]}$ has Tor-dimension ≤ 1 . Using the fact $(\varphi^n)^*Q_S^{[s,ps]} \cong Q_S^{[p^n s, p^{n+1}s]}$, we see that Y is also the blow up obtained by applying [7, Corollary 6.2.5(1)] to a finite presentation of $Q_S^{[s, p^{n+1}s]}$ for any positive integer n . It therefore follows that for any $r > s$, the pullback of $Q_S^{[s,r]}$ has Tor-dimension ≤ 1 ; hence the pullback of Q_S has Tor-dimension ≤ 1 . Furthermore, the blow ups for all affinoid subdomains $M(S)$ glue to form a blow up X' of X which satisfies the desired condition. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. *Let X be a reduced, separated and irreducible rigid analytic space over K . Let D'_X and D_X be families of (φ, Γ) -modules over X of ranks d' and d respectively, and let $\lambda : D'_X \rightarrow D_X$ be a morphism between them. Suppose that for any $x \in X$, the image of λ_x is a (φ, Γ) -submodule of rank d of D_x . Then there exists a proper birational morphism $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ of reduced rigid analytic spaces over K such that the kernel of $\pi^*\lambda$ is a family of (φ, Γ) -modules of rank $d' - d$ over X' , and there exists a Zariski open dense subset $U \subset X'$ such that $(\ker(\pi^*\lambda))_x = \ker((\pi^*\lambda)_x)$ for any $x \in U$.*

Proof. Let Q_X be the cokernel of λ . By the previous Lemma, we may suppose that Q_X has Tor-dimension ≤ 1 after adapting X . Now let P_X denote the kernel of λ . For any $x \in X$, the Tor spectral sequence computing the cohomology of the complex $[D_X \xrightarrow{\lambda} D'_X] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{\mathbf{L}} k(x)$ gives rise to a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow P_x \longrightarrow \ker(\lambda_x) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1(Q_X, k(x)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since the image of λ_x is a (φ, Γ) -module of rank d , $\ker(\lambda_x)$ is a (φ, Γ) -module of rank $d' - d$. Since Q_X is killed by a power of t locally on X , we get that the last term of the exact sequence is killed by a power of t . This yields that P_x is a (φ, Γ) -module of rank $d' - d$. We therefore conclude that P_X is a family of (φ, Γ) -modules of rank $d' - d$ over X by [7, Corollary 2.1.9]. Furthermore, since Q_X has Tor-dimension ≤ 1 , by [7, Lemma 6.2.7], we get that the set of $x \in X$ for which $\text{Tor}_1(Q_X, k(x)) \neq 0$ forms a nowhere dense Zariski closed subset of X ; this yields the rest of the lemma. $\square$

The following proposition modifies part of [7, Theorem 6.2.9].

Proposition 2.5. *Let X be a reduced, separated and irreducible rigid analytic space over K . Let D_X be a family of (φ, Γ) -modules of rank d over X , and let $\delta : K^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)^\times$ be a continuous character. Suppose that there exist a Zariski dense subset Z of closed points of X and a positive integer $c \leq d$ such that for every $z \in Z$, $H^0(D_z^\vee(\delta_z))$ is a c -dimensional $k(z)$ -vector space. Then there exists a proper birational morphism $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ of reduced rigid analytic spaces over K and a morphism $\lambda : D_{X'} \rightarrow M_{X'} = (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}_{X'}) (\delta) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} L$ of (φ, Γ) -modules, where L is a locally free coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -module of rank c equipped with trivial φ, Γ -actions, such that*

- (1) *for any $x \in X'$, the image of λ_x is a (φ, Γ) -submodule of rank c ;*
- (2) *the kernel of λ is a family of (φ, Γ) -modules of rank $d - c$ over X' , and there exists a Zariski open dense subset $U \subset X'$ such that $(\ker \lambda)_x = \ker(\lambda_x)$ for any $x \in U$.*

Proof. Using Lemma 2.3, we first choose a proper birational morphism $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ with X' reduced such that $N_{X'} = \pi^*(D_X^\vee(\delta))$ satisfies the conditions that $H^0(N_{X'})$ is flat and $H^i(N_{X'})$ has Tor-dimension ≤ 1 for each $i = 1, 2$. Then for any $x \in X'$, the base change spectral sequence $E_2^{i,j} = \text{Tor}_{-i}(H^j(N_{X'}), k(x)) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(N_x)$ gives a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(N_{X'}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} k(x) \longrightarrow H^0(N_x) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_1(H^1(N_{X'}), k(x)) \longrightarrow 0$$

As $H^1(N_{X'})$ has Tor-dimension ≤ 1 , by [7, Lemma 6.2.7], the set of $x \in X'$ for which the last term of the above exact sequence does not vanish forms a nowhere dense Zariski closed subset V . For any $z \in \pi^{-1}(Z)/V$, we deduce from the above exact sequence that $H^0(N_{X'}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} k(z)$ is a c -dimensional $k(z)$ -vector space. Since $H^0(N_{X'})$ is flat and $\pi^{-1}(Z)/V$ is a Zariski dense subset of X' , we get that $H^0(N_{X'})$ is locally free of constant rank c . Let L be its dual; then the natural map $(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}_{X'}) H^0(N_{X'}) \rightarrow N_{X'}$ gives a map $\lambda : D_{X'} \rightarrow M_{X'} = (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}_{X'}) (\delta) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} L$. For any $x \in X'$, since the map $H^0(N_{X'}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} k(x) \longrightarrow H^0(N_x)$ is injective, we get that the image of λ_x is a rank c (φ, Γ) -submodule of M_x . We thus conclude the proposition using the previous lemma. $\square$

3 Families of Hodge-Tate (φ, Γ) -modules

From now on, let S be a reduced affinoid algebra over K .

Definition 3.1. Let D_S be a (φ, Γ) -module of rank d over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$. For any positive integer n , if $D_S^{r_n}$ is defined, we set

$$D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S) = D_S^{r_n} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S.$$

We call D_S *Hodge-Tate with Hodge-Tate weights in $[a, b]$* if there exists a positive integer n such that the natural map

$$(\oplus_{a \leq i \leq b} D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S(-i)))^\Gamma \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S)[t, t^{-1}] \longrightarrow \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S(-i)) \quad (3.1.1)$$

is an isomorphism. We denote by $h_{HT}(D_S)$ the smallest n which satisfies this condition, and we define $D_{HT}(D_S) = (\oplus_{a \leq i \leq b} D_{\text{Sen}}^{h_{HT}(D_S)}(D_S(-i)))^\Gamma$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let D_S be a Hodge-Tate (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ with weights in $[a, b]$. Then for any $n \geq h_{HT}(D_S)$, (3.1.1) is an isomorphism and $D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S(-i))^\Gamma = D_{\text{Sen}}^{h_{HT}(D_S)}(D_S(-i))^\Gamma$ for any $i \in [a, b]$. As a consequence, we have $(\oplus_{a \leq i \leq b} D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S(-i)))^\Gamma = D_{HT}(D_S)$.*

Proof. Tensoring with $K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S[t, 1/t]$ on both sides of the map

$$(\oplus_{a \leq i \leq b} D_{\text{Sen}}^{h_{HT}(D_S)}(D_S(-i)))^\Gamma \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_{h_{HT}(D_S)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S)[t, t^{-1}] \longrightarrow \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} D_{\text{Sen}}^{h_{HT}(D_S)}(D_S(-i))$$

We get that the natural map

$$(\oplus_{a \leq i \leq b} D_{\text{Sen}}^{h_{HT}(D_S)}(D_S(-i)))^\Gamma \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S)[t, t^{-1}] \longrightarrow \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S(-i))$$

is an isomorphism. Taking Γ -invariants on both sides, we get

$$(\oplus_{a \leq i \leq b} D_{\text{Sen}}^{h_{HT}(D_S)}(D_S(-i)))^\Gamma = (\oplus_{a \leq i \leq b} D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S(-i)))^\Gamma.$$

This yields the lemma. $\square$

Remark 3.3. If D_S is Hodge-Tate with weights in $[a, b]$, taking Γ -invariants on both sides of (3.1.1), we see that $D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S(-i))^\Gamma = 0$ for any $n \geq h_{HT}(D_S)$ and $i \notin [a, b]$.

Lemma 3.4. *If D_S is a Hodge-Tate (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ with weights in $[a, b]$, then for any morphism $S \rightarrow R$ of affinoid algebras over K , D_R is Hodge-Tate with weights in $[a, b]$ and $h_{HT}(D_R) \leq h_{HT}(D_S)$. Furthermore, the natural map $D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S(i))^\Gamma \otimes_S R \rightarrow D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_R(i))^\Gamma$ is an isomorphism for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq h_{HT}(D_S)$. As a consequence, the natural map $D_{HT}(D_S) \otimes_S R \rightarrow D_{HT}(D_R)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $n \geq h_{HT}(D_S)$. Tensoring with R over S on both sides of (3.1.1), we get that the natural map

$$(\oplus_{a \leq i \leq b} D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S(-i))^\Gamma \otimes_S R) \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R)[t, t^{-1}] \longrightarrow \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_R(-i)).$$

is an isomorphism. Comparing Γ -invariants on both sides, we get that the natural map

$$D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S(-i))^\Gamma \otimes_S R \rightarrow D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_R(-i))^\Gamma$$

is an isomorphism for any $a \leq i \leq b$. This implies that the natural map

$$(\oplus_{a \leq i \leq b} D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_R(-i))^\Gamma \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R)[t, t^{-1}] \longrightarrow \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_R(-i)).$$

is an isomorphism. This proves the lemma. $\square$

Corollary 3.5. *If D_S is a Hodge-Tate (φ, Γ) -module of rank d over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$, then $D_{\text{HT}}(D_S)$ is a locally free coherent $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ -module of rank d .*

Proof. By the previous lemma, it suffices to treat the case that S is a finite extension of K ; this is clear from the isomorphism (3.1.1). $\square$

Definition 3.6. Let X be a reduced and separated rigid analytic space over K , and let D_X be a family of (φ, Γ) -modules of rank d over X . We call D_X *Hodge-Tate* with weights in $[a, b]$ if for some (hence any) admissible cover $\{M(S_i)\}_{i \in I}$ of X , D_{S_i} is Hodge-Tate with weights in $[a, b]$ for any $i \in I$. We define $D_{\text{HT}}(D_X)$ to be the gluing of all $D_{\text{HT}}(D_{S_i})$'s.

Lemma 3.7. *Let D_S be a (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$. Then (3.1.1) is an isomorphism if and only if the natural map*

$$\bigoplus_{a \leq i \leq b} D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S)^{\Gamma_n = \chi^i} \longrightarrow D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S) \quad (3.7.1)$$

is an isomorphism. Furthermore, if this is the case, then (3.1.1) holds for n .

Proof. For the “ $\Rightarrow$ ” part, since (3.1.1) is an isomorphism, we deduce that

$$D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S) = \bigoplus_{a \leq i \leq b} t^i \cdot D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S(-i))^{\Gamma} \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S). \quad (3.7.2)$$

Note that $t^i \cdot D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S(-i))^{\Gamma} \subseteq D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S)^{\Gamma_n = \chi^i}$. Hence (3.7.2) implies that (3.7.1) is surjective. On the other hand, it is clear that (3.1.1) is injective; hence it is an isomorphism. Conversely, suppose that (3.7.1) is an isomorphism. Note that

$$D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S)^{\Gamma_n = \chi^i} = t^i \cdot D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S(-i))^{\Gamma_n} = (t^i \cdot D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S(-i))^{\Gamma}) \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S),$$

where the latter equality follows from [4, Proposition 2.2.1]. This implies that D_S satisfies (3.7.2), yielding that D_S satisfies (3.1.1). $\square$

Proposition 3.8. *Let D_S be a (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes} S$. Suppose that there exists a Zariski dense subset $Z \subset M(S)$ such that D_z is Hodge-Tate with weights in $[a, b]$ for any $z \in Z$ and $\sup_{z \in Z} \{h_{\text{HT}}(D_z)\} < \infty$. Then D_S is Hodge-Tate with weights in $[a, b]$.*

Proof. Let $n \geq \sup_{z \in Z} \{h_{\text{HT}}(D_z)\}$ such that D_S^n is defined, and let γ be a topological generator of Γ_n . For any $a \leq i \leq b$, let p_i denote the operator $\prod_{a \leq j \leq b, j \neq i} \frac{\gamma - \chi^j(\gamma)}{\chi^i(\gamma) - \chi^j(\gamma)}$, and let $M_i = p_i(D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S))$. It is clear that p_i is the identity on $D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S)^{\Gamma_n = \chi^i}$; hence $D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S)^{\Gamma_n = \chi^i} \subseteq M_i$. On the other hand, for any $z \in Z$, since D_z is Hodge-Tate with weights in $[a, b]$ and $h_{\text{HT}}(D_z) \leq n$, we deduce from Lemma 3.7 that $p_i(D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_z)) = D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_z)^{\Gamma_n = \chi^i}$. This implies that M_i maps onto $D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_z)^{\Gamma_n = \chi^i}$ under the specialization $D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S) \rightarrow D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_z)$. Since Z is Zariski dense, we conclude $M_i \subseteq D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S)^{\Gamma_n = \chi^i}$; hence $M_i = D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S)^{\Gamma_n = \chi^i}$. Let $M = \bigoplus_{a \leq i \leq b} M_i$. We claim that the natural inclusion $M \subseteq D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S)$ is an isomorphism. In fact, for any $z \in Z$, since $D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_z) = \bigoplus_{a \leq i \leq b} D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_z)^{\Gamma_n = \chi^i}$, we have that M maps onto $D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_z)$. Thus $D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S)/M$ vanishes at z . We therefore conclude $D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S)/M = 0$ because Z is Zariski dense. By Lemma 3.7 and the claim, we conclude that D_S is Hodge-Tate with weights in $[a, b]$. $\square$

4 Families of deRham (φ, Γ) -modules

Definition 4.1. Let D_S be a (φ, Γ) -module of rank d over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$. For any positive integer n , if $D_S^{r_n}$ is defined, we set

$$D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S) = D_S^{r_n} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S)[[t]], \quad D_{\text{dif}}^n(D_S) = D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S)[1/t].$$

We equip $D_{\text{dif}}^n(D_S)$ with the filtration $\text{Fil}^i D_{\text{dif}}^n(D_S) = t^i D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S)$. We call D_S *deRham with weights in $[a, b]$* if there exists a positive integer n such that

(1) the natural map

$$D_{\text{dif}}^n(D_S)^\Gamma \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S)[[t]][1/t] \longrightarrow D_{\text{dif}}^n(D_S) \quad (4.1.1)$$

is an isomorphism;

(2) $\text{Fil}^{-b}(D_{\text{dif}}^n(D_S)^\Gamma) = D_S$ and $\text{Fil}^{-a+1}(D_{\text{dif}}^n(D_S)^\Gamma) = 0$ where $\text{Fil}^i(D_{\text{dif}}^n(D_S)^\Gamma)$ is the induced filtration on $D_{\text{dif}}^n(D_S)^\Gamma$.

We denote by $h_{dR}(D_S)$ the smallest n which satisfies these conditions, and we define $D_{dR}(D_S) = D_{\text{dif}}^{h_{dR}(D_S)}(D_S)^\Gamma$.

Lemma 4.2. Let D be a deRham (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$. Then for any $n \geq h_{dR}(D_S)$, $D_{\text{dif}}^n(D_S)^\Gamma = D_{dR}(D_S)$

Proof. We tensor $K_{n+1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S[[t]][1/t]$ on both sides of the map

$$D_{\text{dif}}^{h_{dR}(D_S)}(D_S)^\Gamma \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_{h_{dR}(D_S)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S)[[t]][1/t] \longrightarrow D_{\text{dif}}^{h_{dR}(D_S)}(D_S),$$

yielding that the map

$$D_{\text{dif}}^{h_{dR}(D_S)}(D_S)^\Gamma \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S)[[t]][1/t] \longrightarrow D_{\text{dif}}^n(D_S).$$

is an isomorphism. Comparing Γ -invariants on both sides, we get the desired result. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. If D is a deRham (φ, Γ) -module of rank d over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ with weights in $[a, b]$, then D is Hodge-Tate with weights in $[a, b]$ and $h_{HT}(D_S) \leq h_{dR}(D_S)$. Furthermore, we have $\text{Gr}^i D_{dR}(D_S) = D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S(i))^\Gamma$ under the identification $\text{Gr}^i D_{\text{dif}}^n(D_S) = D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S(i))$ for any $n \geq h_{dR}(D_S)$.

Proof. Let $n \geq h_{dR}(D_S)$. Since (4.1.1) is an isomorphism, we deduce that the natural map of graded modules

$$\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Gr}^i D_{dR}(D_S) \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S)[t, t^{-1}] \longrightarrow \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S(i)) \quad (4.3.1)$$

is surjective. On the other hand, since $t^i \cdot \text{Gr}^{-i} D_{dR}(D_S) \subset D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S)$, we have that the natural map

$$\oplus_{a \leq i \leq b} t^i \cdot \text{Gr}^{-i} D_{dR}(D_S) \rightarrow D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S)$$

is injective. This implies that (4.3.1) is injective; hence it is an isomorphism. Comparing the Γ -invariants on both sides, we get $\text{Gr}^i D_{dR}(D_S) = D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S(i))^\Gamma$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. This proves the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *If D_S is a deRham (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$, then for any morphism $S \rightarrow R$ of affinoid algebras over K , D_R is deRham with weights in $[a, b]$ and $h_{\text{dR}}(D_R) \leq h_{\text{dR}}(D_S)$. Furthermore, the natural maps $\text{Fil}^i D_{\text{dR}}(D_S) \otimes_S R \rightarrow \text{Fil}^i D_{\text{dR}}(D_R)$ are isomorphisms for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let $n \geq h_{\text{dR}}(D_S)$. Tensoring with $(K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R)[[t]][1/t]$ on both sides of (4.1.1), we get that the natural map

$$(D_{\text{dif}}^n(D_S)^\Gamma \otimes_S R) \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R)[[t]][1/t] \longrightarrow D_{\text{dif}}^n(D_R). \quad (4.4.1)$$

is an isomorphism. Comparing Γ -invariants on both sides of (4.4.1), we get that the natural map $D_{\text{dif}}^n(D_S)^\Gamma \otimes_S R \rightarrow D_{\text{dif}}^n(D_R)^\Gamma$ is an isomorphism; hence D_R is deRham. Then by Lemmas 3.4 and 4.3, we deduce that the natural map $\text{Gr}^i(D_{\text{dR}}(D_S)) \otimes_S R \rightarrow \text{Gr}^i(D_{\text{dR}}(D_R))$ is an isomorphism. This implies the rest of the lemma. $\square$

Corollary 4.5. *If D_S is a deRham (φ, Γ) -module of rank d over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$, then $D_{\text{dR}}(D_S)$ is a locally free coherent $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ -module of rank d .*

Proof. We first note that for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\text{Gr}^i(D_{\text{dR}}(D_S))$, which is isomorphic to $D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S(i))^\Gamma$ by Lemma 4.3, is a coherent $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ -module. We then deduce that $D_{\text{dR}}(D_S)$ is a coherent $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ -module. Using Lemma 4.4, it then suffices to treat the case that S is a finite extension of K ; this follows easily from the isomorphism (4.1.1). $\square$

Definition 4.6. Let X be a reduced and separated rigid analytic space over K , and let D_X be a family of (φ, Γ) -modules of rank d over X . We call D_X *deRham* if for some (hence any) admissible cover $\{M(S_i)\}_{i \in I}$ of X , D_{S_i} is deRham with weights in $[a, b]$ for any $i \in I$. We define $D_{\text{dR}}(D_X)$ to be the gluing of all $D_{\text{dR}}(D_{S_i})$'s.

Lemma 4.7. *If D_S is a deRham (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ of rank d with weights in $[a, b]$, then $t^{-a} D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S) \subset D_{\text{dR}}(D_S) \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S)[[t]] \subset t^{-b} D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S)$ for any $n \geq h_{\text{dR}}(D_S)$.*

Proof. Since $\text{Gr}^{-b} D_{\text{dR}}(D_S) = D_{\text{dR}}(D_S)$, we get $D_{\text{dR}}(D_S) \subset t^{-b} D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S)$; hence $D_{\text{dR}}(D_S) \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S)[[t]] \subset t^{-b} D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S)$. By the proof of Lemma 4.3, we know that the natural map (4.3.1) is an isomorphism of graded modules. By the facts that $\text{Gr}^i D_{\text{dR}}(D_S) = 0$ for $i \geq -a + 1$ and $\text{Fil}^i D_{\text{dif}}^n(D_S)$ is t -adically complete, we thus deduce that $t^{-a} D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S) \subset D_{\text{dR}}(D_S) \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S)[[t]]$. $\square$

Lemma 4.8. *Let D_S be a Hodge-Tate (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ with weights in $[a, b]$. Then for any $k \geq b - a + 1$, $i \in [a, b]$, $n \geq h_{HT}(D_S)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$, the map $\gamma - \chi^i(\gamma) : t^k D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S) \rightarrow t^k D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S)$ is bijective.*

Proof. Since $D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S)$ is t -adically complete, it suffices to show that

$$\gamma - 1 : t^k D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S) / t^{k+1} D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S) \rightarrow t^k D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S) / t^{k+1} D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S)$$

is bijective for any $k \geq b - a + 1$. Note that $t^k D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S) / t^{k+1} D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S)$ is isomorphic to $D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S(k))$ as a Γ -module. Note that $D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S(k)) = \oplus_{a \leq j \leq b} (D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S))^{\Gamma_n = \chi^{j+k}}$ by Lemma 3.7. Since $j + k \geq b + 1$ for all $j \in [a, b]$, we deduce that $\gamma - \chi^i(\gamma)$ is bijective on $D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S(k))$. $\square$

Lemma 4.9. *Let D_S be a Hodge-Tate (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ with weights in $[a, b]$. Then D_S is deRham if and only if there exists a positive integer $n \geq h_{HT}(D_S)$ such that $\Pi_{i=a}^{2b-a}(\gamma - \chi(\gamma)^i)D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S) \subset t^{b-a+1}D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S)$. Furthermore, if this is the case, then (4.1.1) holds for n .*

Proof. Suppose that D_S is deRham. Let $n \geq h_{dR}(D_S)$, and put

$$N = D_{\text{dR}}(D_S) \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S)[[t]].$$

Since D has weights in $[a, b]$, by Lemma 4.7, we have $t^{-a}D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S) \subset N \subset t^{-b}D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S)$. On the other hand, by the construction of N , it is clear that $(\gamma - 1)N \subset tN$. It therefore follows that

$$\Pi_{i=a}^{2b-a}(\gamma - \chi(\gamma)^i)D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S) \subset \Pi_{i=a}^{2b-a}(\gamma - \chi(\gamma)^i)(t^a N) \subset t^{2b-a+1}N \subset t^{b-a+1}D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S).$$

Now suppose $\Pi_{i=a}^{2b-a}(\gamma - \chi(\gamma)^i)D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S) \subset t^{b-a+1}D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S)$ for some $n \geq h_{HT}(D_S)$. We claim that for any $j \in [a, b]$ and $a \in (D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S))^{\Gamma_n = \chi^j}$, we can lift a to an element in $(D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S))^{\Gamma_n = \chi^j}$. In fact, let $\tilde{a}$ be any lift of a in $D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S)$, and let $\tilde{b} = \prod_{a \leq i \leq 2b-a, i \neq j} \frac{\gamma - \chi^i(\gamma)}{\chi^j(\gamma) - \chi^i(\gamma)} \tilde{a}$ where γ is a topological generator of Γ_n ; it is clear that $\tilde{b}$ is also a lift of a . Furthermore, by assumption, we have $(\gamma - \chi^j(\gamma))(\tilde{b}) \in \Pi_{i=a}^{2b-a}(\gamma - \chi(\gamma)^i)D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S) \subset t^{b-a+1}D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S)$. By the previous lemma, we choose some $\tilde{c} \in t^{b-a+1}D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S)$ satisfying $(\gamma - \chi^j(\gamma))(\tilde{b}) = (\gamma - \chi^j(\gamma))(\tilde{c})$. It is then clear that $\tilde{b} - \tilde{c}$ is a desired lift of a . Since $D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S) = \bigoplus_{a \leq i \leq b} (D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S))^{\Gamma_n = \chi^i}$, we have that $(D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S))^{\Gamma_n = \chi^i}$ is locally free for each $i \in [a, b]$. By shrinking $M(S)$, we may further suppose that each $(D_{\text{Sen}}^n(D_S))^{\Gamma_n = \chi^i}$ is free. We then deduce from the claim that there exists a free $K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ -module $M \subseteq (D_{\text{dif}}^n(D_S))^{\Gamma_n}$ such that the natural map

$$M \otimes_{K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S)[[t]][1/t] \longrightarrow D_{\text{dif}}^n(D_S)$$

is an isomorphism. It follows that the natural map

$$M^\Gamma \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S)[[t]][1/t] \longrightarrow D_{\text{dif}}^n(D_S)$$

is an isomorphism because $M = M^\Gamma \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S)$ by [4, Proposition 2.2.1]. Taking Γ -invariants on both sides, we get $M^\Gamma = (D_{\text{dif}}^n(D_S))^\Gamma$. This implies that D_S is deRham. $\square$

Proposition 4.10. *Let D_S be a (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes} S$. Suppose that there exists a Zariski dense subset $Z \subset M(S)$ such that D_z is deRham with weights in $[a, b]$ for any $z \in Z$ and $\sup_{z \in Z} \{h_{dR}(D_z)\} < \infty$. Then D_S is deRham with weights in $[a, b]$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.8, we first have that D_S is Hodge-Tate with weights in $[a, b]$. Let $n \geq \max\{h_{HT}(D_S), \sup_{z \in Z} \{h_{dR}(D_z)\}\}$. By Lemma 4.9, we have

$$\Pi_{i=a}^{2b-a}(\gamma - \chi(\gamma)^i)D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_z) \subset t^{b-a+1}D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_z)$$

for any $z \in Z$. This implies $\Pi_{i=a}^{2b-a}(\gamma - \chi(\gamma)^i)D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S) \subset t^{b-a+1}D_{\text{dif}}^{+,n}(D_S)$ because Z is Zariski dense. Hence D_S is deRham by Lemma 4.9 again. $\square$

5 p -adic local monodromy for families of deRham (φ, Γ) -modules

The main goal of this section is to prove the p -adic local monodromy for families of deRham (φ, Γ) -modules. The proof is similar to Berger-Colmez's proof of the p -adic local monodromy for families of deRham representations [4, §6]. Indeed, with the results we have proved in §2 and §3, the proof from [loc.cit.] go over verbatim. We therefore often sketch our proof and refer the reader to [loc.cit.] for more details.

We fix E to be a finite extension of the products of the complete residue fields of the Shilov boundary of $M(S)$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let D_S be a deRham (φ, Γ) -module of rank d over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ with weights in $[a, b]$. For any $s > 0$ such that $n(s) \geq h_{\text{dR}}(D_S)$, let*

$$N_s(D_E) = \{y \in t^{-b}D_E^s \text{ such that } \iota_n(y) \in D_{\text{dR}}(D_S) \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)[[t]] \text{ for each } n \geq n(s)\}.$$

Then the following are true.

- (1) The $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module $N_s(D_E)$ is free of rank d and stable under Γ .
- (2) We have $N_s(D_E) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E, \iota_n} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)[[t]] = D_{\text{dR}}(D_S) \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)[[t]]$ for each $n \geq n(s)$.

Furthermore, if we put $N_{\text{dR}}(D_E) = N_s(D_E) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E} \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$, then the following are true.

- (3) The $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module $N_{\text{dR}}(D_E)$ is free of rank d , stable under Γ , and independent of the choice of s .
- (4) We have $\varphi^*(N_{\text{dR}}(D_E)) = N_{\text{dR}}(D_E)$ and $\nabla(N_{\text{dR}}(D_E)) \subset t \cdot N_{\text{dR}}(D_E)$.

Proof. Since the localization map ι_n is continuous, we first have that $N_s(D_E)$ is a closed $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -submodule of $t^{-b}D_E^s$. It follows that $N_s(D_E)$ is a finite locally free $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module because $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ is isomorphic to a finite product of Robba rings. On the other hand, by Lemma 4.7, we get that $t^{-a}D_E^s$ is contained in $N_s(D_E)$. We thus conclude that $N_s(D_E)$ is a free $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module of rank d . To show (2), we proceed as in the proof of [4, Proposition 6.1.1]. For any $y \in D_{\text{dR}}(D_S) \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)[[t]]$ and $w \geq \max\{0, b - a\}$, since

$$D_{\text{dR}}(D_S) \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)[[t]] \subset t^{-b}D_{\text{dif}}^{+, n}(D_E)$$

by Lemma 4.7, we may pick some $y_0 \in t^{-b}D_E^s$ such that $\iota_n(y_0) - y \in t^w D_{\text{dR}}(D_S) \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)[[t]]$. Let $t_{n, w}$ be the function defined in [3, Lemme I.2.1]. It follows that

$$\iota_m(t_{n, w} y_0) \in t^{w-b}D_{\text{dif}}^{+, n}(D_E) = t^{w-b+a}(t^{-a}D_{\text{dif}}^{+, n}(D_E)) \subset D_{\text{dR}}(D_S) \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)[[t]]$$

for $m > n$ and

$$\iota_m(t_{n, w} y_0) - y \in t^w D_{\text{dR}}(D_S) \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)[[t]].$$

This implies that the natural map $N_s(D_E) \rightarrow D_{\text{dR}}(D_S) \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)[[t]]/(t^w)$ is surjective; this proves (2). We get (3) immediately from (2). The first half of (4) follows from the fact that $\iota_{n+1} \circ \varphi = \iota_n$. Note that $\iota_n(\nabla(N_s(D_E))) = \nabla(\iota_n(N_s(D_E))) \subset t D_{\text{dR}}(D_S) \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S} (K_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)[[t]]$ for any $n \geq n(s)$; this proves the second half of (4). $\square$

Proposition 5.2. *Keep notations as in Proposition 5.1. Then there exists a finite extension L over K such that*

$$M = (N_{\text{dR}}(D_E) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E} \mathbf{B}_{\text{log},L}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)^{I_L}$$

is a free $L'_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module of rank d and the natural map

$$M \otimes_{L'_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E} \mathbf{B}_{\text{log},L}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E \longrightarrow N_{\text{dR}}(D_E) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E} \mathbf{B}_{\text{log},L}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $f' = [K'_0 : \mathbb{Q}_p]$. Note that there is a canonical decomposition $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E \cong \prod_{i=0}^{f'-1} \mathcal{R}_E^{(i)}$ where each $\mathcal{R}_E^{(i)}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}_E$ and stable under Γ_K , and satisfies $\varphi(\mathcal{R}_E^{(i)}) \subset \mathcal{R}_E^{(i+1)}$ ($\mathcal{R}_E^{(f')} = \mathcal{R}_E^{(0)}$). Let $N_{\text{dR}}^{(i)}(D_E) = N_{\text{dR}}(D_E) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E} \mathcal{R}_E^{(i)}$. It follows that each $N_{\text{dR}}^{(i)}(D_E)$ is stable under $\partial = \nabla/t$ and $\varphi^{f'}$; hence it is a p -adic differential equation with a Frobenius structure. By the versions of the p -adic local monodromy theorem proved by André [1] or Mebkhout [9], we conclude that each $N_{\text{dR}}^{(i)}(D_E)$ is potentially unipotent. This yields the proposition using the argument of [4, Proposition 6.2.2] and [4, Corollaire 6.2.3]. $\square$

Lemma 5.3. *Keep notations as in Proposition 5.2, and let*

$$M = (N_s(D_E) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger,s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E} \mathbf{B}_{\text{log},K}^{\dagger,s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)^{I_L}$$

for sufficiently large s . Then for any $n \geq n(s)$, we have

$$L \otimes_{L_0} \iota_n(M) = (D_{\text{dR}}(D_E \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E} \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},L}^\dagger \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E))^{I_L} \quad (5.3.1)$$

Proof. By the previous proposition, the left hand side of (5.3.1) is a free $L \otimes_{L_0} L'_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module of rank d . On the other hand, since $((L_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)[[t]][1/t])^{I_L} = L \otimes_{L_0} L'_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$, we deduce that the right hand side of (5.3.1), which obviously contains the left hand side, is an $L \otimes_{L_0} L'_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module generated by at most d -elements. This yields the desired identity. $\square$

6 Proof of the main theorem

Let V_X be a finite slope family over a reduced and separated rigid analytic space X over K . For any $z \in Z$, since V_z has nonpositive Hodge-Tate weights, we get $D_{\text{crys}}^+(V_z) = D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_z)^\Gamma$ by Berger's dictionary. For each $0 \leq i \leq m+1$, let $\text{Fil}_{i,z} \subset D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_z)$ be the saturation of the (φ, Γ) -submodule generated by $\mathcal{F}_{i,z}$. For each $1 \leq i \leq m$, we define the character $\delta_i : K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)^\times$ by setting $\delta_i(p) = F_i^{-1}$ and $\delta_i(\mathcal{O}_K^\times) = 1$. Let $D_X = D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_X)^\vee$.

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose that X is irreducible. Then for each $0 \leq i \leq m$, there exists a proper birational morphism $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ and a sub-family of (φ, Γ) -modules $D_{X'}^{(i)} \subset D_{X'}$ over X' of rank $d - c_1 - \dots - c_i$ such that*

- (1) *for any $x \in X'$, the natural map $D_x^{(i)} \rightarrow D_x$ is injective;*
- (2) *there exists a Zariski open dense subset U of X' such that for any $z \in Z' = \pi^{-1}(Z) \cap U$, the natural map $D_z^{(i)} \rightarrow D_z$ is the dual of the projection $D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_{\pi(z)}) \rightarrow D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_{\pi(z)})/\text{Fil}_{i,\pi(z)}$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on i . The initial case is trivial. Suppose that for some $1 \leq i \leq m$, the lemma is true for $i - 1$. Note that $\mathcal{F}_{i,z}/\mathcal{F}_{i-1,z}$ maps into $D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_z)/\text{Fil}_{i,z}$ for any $z \in Z$. By Definition 0.1(5) and Berger's dictionary, we get that $(D_z^{(i)})^\vee(\pi^*(\delta_i)(z))$ has $k(z)$ -dimension c_i for any $z \in Z'$. Since Z' is Zariski dense in X' , by Proposition 2.5, after adapting X' and U , we may find a sub-family of (φ, Γ) -modules $D_{X'}^{(i)}$ of $D_{X'}^{(i-1)}$ with rank $d - c_1 - \dots - c_i$ such that

(1') $D_x^{(i)} \rightarrow D_x^{(i-1)}$ is injective for any $x \in X'$;

(2') for any $z \in \pi^{-1}(Z) \cap U$, $D_x^{(i)}$ is the kernel of the dual of the map

$$(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} k(z)) \cdot (\mathcal{F}_{i,\pi(z)}/\mathcal{F}_{i-1,\pi(z)}) \rightarrow D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_{\pi(z)})/\text{Fil}_{i,\pi(z)}.$$

It is clear that (1') and (2') imply (1) and (2) respectively; this finishes the inductive step. $\square$

To prove Theorem 0.2, we also need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *Let V_S be a free S -linear representation of G_K of rank d . Then there exists a positive integer $m(V_S)$ such that for any $x \in M(S)$ and $a \in D_{\text{dif}}^+(V_x)$, if a is Γ -invariant, then $a \in D_{\text{dif}}^{+,m(V_S)}(V_x)$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of the Tate-Sen method. Using [4, Théorème 4.2.9], we first choose a finite extension L over K and some positive integer m so that $D_{\text{rig},L}^{\dagger,r_m}(V_S)$ is a free $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},L}^{\dagger,r_m} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S$ -module with a basis $e = (e_1, \dots, e_d)$. Let γ be a topological generator of Γ_{L_m} and write $\gamma(e) = eG$ for some $G \in \text{GL}_d(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},L}^{\dagger,r_m} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} S)$. Recall that by the classical work of Tate [11], we know that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $v_p((\gamma - 1)x) \leq v_p(x) + c$ for any nonzero $x \in (1 - R_{L,m})\hat{L}_\infty$, where $R_{L,m} : \hat{L}_\infty \rightarrow L_m$ is Tate's normalized trace map. Since the localization map $\iota_m : \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},L}^{\dagger,r_m} \rightarrow L_m[[t]]$ is continuous, by enlarging m , we may suppose that the constant term of $\iota_m(G) - 1$ has norm less than p^{-c} . We fix some $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K_\infty \cap L_m = K_{m_0} \cap L_m$.

Now let $a \in D_{\text{dif}}^{+,K_n}(V_x)^\Gamma$ for some $x \in X$ and $n \geq m$. We will show that $a \in D_{\text{dif}}^{+,K_{m_0}}(V_x)^\Gamma$. Since $\iota_m(e)$ forms a basis of $D_{\text{dif}}^{+,L_n}(V_S)$, we may write $a = \iota_m(e)(x)A$ for some

$$A \in M_{d \times 1}((L_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} k(x))[[t]]).$$

The Γ -invariance of a implies $\iota_m(G(x))\gamma(A) = A$; thus $(1 - R_{L,m})\iota_m(G(x))\gamma(A) = (1 - R_{L,m})A$. Note that $\iota_m(G(x))$ has entries in $(L_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} k(x))[[t]]$. It follows that $(G(x) - 1)B = (1 - \gamma^{-1})B$ where $B = (1 - R_{L,m})A$. Let B_0 be the constant term of B . If $B_0 \neq 0$, then the constant term of $(\iota_m(G(x)) - 1)B$ has valuation $\geq v(\iota_m(G(x)) - 1) + v(B_0) > v(B_0) + c$ whereas the constant term $(1 - \gamma^{-1})B_0$ of $(1 - \gamma^{-1})B$ has valuation $\leq v(B_0) + c$; this yields a contradiction. Hence $B_0 = 0$. Iterating this argument, we get $B = 0$. Hence $a \in D_{\text{dif}}^{+,L_m}(V_x) \cap D_{\text{dif}}^{+,K_n}(V_x) \subset D_{\text{dif}}^{+,K_{m_0}}(V_x)$. Thus we may choose $m(V_S) = m_0$. $\square$

Proof. We retain the notations as above. By passing to irreducible components, we may suppose that X is irreducible. We then apply Lemma 6.1 to V_X . Note that $V_{X'}$ is again a finite slope family over X' with the Zariski dense set of crystalline points $\pi^{-1}(Z)$. We may suppose that $X' = X$. Let $\lambda : D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_X) = D_X^\vee \rightarrow (D_X^{(m)})^\vee$ be the dual of $D_X^{(m)} \rightarrow D_X$, and let $P_X = \ker(\lambda)$. For any $x \in X$, since $D_x^{(m)} \rightarrow D_x$ is injective, we get that the image of λ_x is a (φ, Γ) -submodule of rank

$d - c_1 - \dots - c_m$. Thus by Lemma 2.4, after adapting X , we may assume that P_X is a family of (φ, Γ) -modules of rank $c_1 + \dots + c_m$, and there exists a Zariski open dense subset $U \subset X$ such that $P_x = \ker(\lambda_x)$ for any $x \in U$. Note that $\ker(\lambda_z) = \text{Fil}_{i,z}$ for any $z \in Z$. Thus by replacing Z with $Z \cap U$, we may assume that $P_z = \text{Fil}_{i,z}$ for any $z \in Z$. We claim that P_X is deRham with weights in $[-b, 0]$. To do so, we set Y to be the set of $x \in X$ for which P_x is deRham with weights in $[a, b]$. By the previous lemma, we see that for any affinoid subdomain $M(S) \subset X$, there exists an integer $m(V_S)$ such that if P_x is deRham for some $x \in M(S)$, then $h_{dR}(P_x) \leq m(V_S)$. We then deduce from Proposition 4.10 that $Y \cap M(S)$ is a Zariski closed subset of $M(S)$. Hence Y is a Zariski closed subset of X . On the other hand, since P_z is deRham with weights in $[-b, 0]$ by Definition 0.1(6), we get $Z \subset Y$; thus $Y = X$ by the Zariski density of Z . Furthermore, using Proposition 4.10 and the previous lemma again, we deduce that P_X is deRham with weights in $[-b, 0]$. As a consequence, we obtain a locally free coherent $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K$ -module $D_{dR}(P_X)$ of rank $c_1 + \dots + c_m$.

The next step is to show that for any $x \in X$, $D_{dR}(P_x)$ is contained in $D_{\text{crys}}^+(V_x) \otimes_{K_0} K$. Let Y be the set of $x \in X$ satisfying this condition. We first show that Y is a Zariski closed subset of X . For this, it suffices to show that $Y \cap M(S)$ is a Zariski closed subset of $M(S)$ for any affinoid subdomain $M(S)$ of X . To show this, we employ the p -adic local monodromy for families of deRham (φ, Γ) -modules. As in §5, let E be the product of the complete residue fields of the Shilov boundary of $M(S)$. Since P_S is a family of deRham (φ, Γ) -modules with weights in $[-b, 0]$, by Lemma 5.3, there exists a finite extension L of K such that for sufficiently large s and $n \geq n(s)$, we have

$$L \otimes_{L_0} \iota_n(M) = (\text{D}_{\text{dif}}(P_E \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E} \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, L}^{\dagger} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E))^{I_L}$$

for $M = (N_s(P_E) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E} \mathbf{B}_{\text{log}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)^{I_L}$; furthermore, $N_s(P_E) \subset P_E^s$. Thus

$$\iota_n(M) \subset \iota_n(P_E \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E} \mathbf{B}_{\text{log}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E) \subset \iota_n(\text{D}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_E) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E} \mathbf{B}_{\text{log}, K}^{\dagger, s} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E) \subset \mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V_E.$$

Note that $D_{dR}(P_E) \subset \text{D}_{\text{dif}}^+(P_E) \subset \text{D}_{\text{dif}}^+(V_E) \subset \mathbf{B}_{dR}^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V_E$. This yields

$$D_{dR}(P_E) \subset (\mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V_E) \otimes_{L_0} L \cap \mathbf{B}_{dR}^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V_E = (\mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V_E) \otimes_{L_0} L.$$

We therefore deduce from [4, Lemme 6.3.1] that

$$D_{dR}(P_S) \subset (\mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V_E) \otimes_{L_0} L \cap \mathbf{B}_{dR}^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V_S = (\mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V_S) \otimes_{L_0} L.$$

It follows that $Y \cap M(S)$, which is the set of $x \in M(S)$ such that $D_{dR}(P_x) \subset (\mathbf{B}_{\text{crys}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V_x) \otimes_{K_0} K$, is Zariski closed in $M(S)$.

To conclude the theorem, it then suffices to show that $D_{dR}(P_x) \subset (D_{\text{crys}}^+(V_x) \otimes_{K_0} K)^{Q(\varphi)(x)=0}$ for any $x \in X$; here we K -linearly extend the φ^f -action to $D_{\text{crys}}^+(V_x) \otimes_{K_0} K$. Note that $\text{Fil}_{m,z}$ is crystalline with $D_{\text{crys}}(\text{Fil}_{m,z}) = \mathcal{F}_{m,z}$. This implies that $Q(\varphi)(D_{dR}(P_X))$ vanishes at z , yielding that $Q(\varphi)(D_{dR}(P_X)) = 0$ by the Zariski density of Z . $\square$

References

- [1] Yves André, *Filtrations de Hasse-Arf et monodromie p -adique*, Invent. Math. 148 (2002), no.2, 285-317.

- [2] Laurent Berger, *Représentations p -adiques et équations différentielles*, Invent. Math. 148, 2002, 219-284.
- [3] Laurent Berger, *Equations différentielles p -adiques et (ϕ, N) -modules filtrés*, Astérisque 319 (2008), 13-38.
- [4] Laurent Berger, Pierre Colmez, *Familles de représentations de de Rham et monodromie p -adique*, Astérisque 319 (2008), 303–337.
- [5] Mark Kisin, *Overconvergent modular forms and the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture*, Invent. Math. 153(2) (2003), 373-454.
- [6] Kiran S. Kedlaya, Ruochuan Liu, *On families of (φ, Γ) -modules*, Algebra and Number Theory, Vol. 4, No. 7, 2010.
- [7] Kiran S. Kedlaya, Jay Pottharst, Liang Xiao, *Cohomology of arithmetic families of (φ, Γ) -modules*, preprint 2012.
- [8] Ruochuan Liu, *Triangulation of refined families*, preprint 2012.
- [9] Zoghman Mebkhout, *Analogie p -adique du théorème de Turrittin et le théorème de la monodromie p -adique*, Invent. Math. 148 (2002), 319-351.
- [10] Christopher Skinner, Eric Urban, *Vanishing of L -functions and ranks of Selmer groups*, International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II, 473-500, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006.
- [11] John Tate, *p -divisible groups*, Proc. Conf. Local Fields (Driebergen, 1966) 158-183, Springer, Berlin.